Lecture 7– January 29

Lecturer: John Duchi

Scribes: Kevin Han, Yuchen Wu

Warning: these notes may contain factual errors

Reading: Elements of Large Sample Theory Ch. 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, VDV Chapter 11, 12

#### **Outline:**

- Finish "basic" tests
- U-Statistics
  - Definitions
  - Examples
  - Variance calculation

# 1 Recap: Wald, Likelihood Ratio Tests

**Goal:** For fixed  $\alpha > 0$ , find regions  $C_n$  such that for  $H_0$ :  $\{\theta \in \Theta_0\}$ ,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} P_{\theta}(T_n \notin \mathcal{C}_n) \le \alpha$$

How to deal with nuisance/composite nulls, e.g.

$$\Theta_0 = \{\theta : [\theta]_{1:k} = \left[\theta^0\right]_{1:k}, \theta_{k+1}, \cdots, \theta_d \text{ unspecified}\}$$

## 1.1 Wald Test

Let  $\Sigma^{(k)}(\theta) = \text{First } k \times k \text{ block of } I(\theta)^{-1}.$ 

$$\mathcal{C}_{n,\alpha} = \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : ([\theta]_{1:k} - [\theta^0]_{1:k})^T \left[ \Sigma^{(k)}(\theta^0) \right]^{-1} ([\theta]_{1:k} - [\theta^0]_{1:k}) \le u_{k,\alpha}^2 / n \right\}$$

where  $u_{k,\alpha}^2$  was quantile of  $\chi_k^2$ , i.e.  $P(\|w\|_2^2) \ge u_{k,\alpha}^2 = \alpha$  for  $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_{k \times k})$ .

$$T_n := \begin{cases} \text{Reject} & \text{if } \hat{\theta}_n \notin C_{n,\alpha} \\ \text{Don't Reject} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# 2 Rao Test (Score Test)

We know the (limiting) distribution of  $P_n \nabla \ell_{\theta} = P_n \dot{\ell_{\theta}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \ell_{\theta}(X_i)$  under  $P_{\theta}$ , i.e.

$$\sqrt{n}(P_n\dot{\ell_\theta}) \xrightarrow{d}_{P_{\theta}} \mathcal{N}(0, I_{\theta}).$$

In  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , then

$$n \left( P_n \nabla \ell_{\theta_0} \right)^T I_{\theta_0}^{-1} \left( P_n \nabla \ell_{\theta_0} \right) \xrightarrow{d}_{H_0} \chi_d^2.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Rao test is to define rejection region

$$\left(P_n \dot{\ell}_{\theta_0}\right)^T I_{\theta_0}^{-1} \left(P_n \dot{\ell}_{\theta_0}\right) \ge \frac{u_{d,\alpha}^2}{n}$$

Immediately, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{H_0}(\text{reject}) = \alpha$$

#### Remark

• All of these tests (related score/asymptotic normality) strongly related to optimality. In future, we compute powers under alternatives of form

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \quad H_{1,n}: \theta = \theta_0 + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Look at Power(h) :=  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_{H_1,n}(T_n \text{ rejects})$ 

• Rao test has analogues for composite nulls

# **3** U-Statistics

#### 3.1 Introduction

Suppose we have a function h of k variables, want to estimate  $\theta := \mathbb{E}[h(X_1, \dots, X_k)]$  where  $X_i$  are independent. How should we estimate  $\theta$  given  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ ? **Example:**  $P(X_1 \ge X_2 + t) \quad h(y, z) = \mathbb{1}(y \ge z + t)$ 

Example:

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[ (X_1 - X_2)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[ X^2 \right] - (\mathbb{E}X)^2. \quad X_i \text{'s are i.i.d}$$
$$h(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} (x - y)^2$$

÷

To do this, use U-statistics.

Developed by Hoeffding (1940s-ish), one of fathers of nonparametric statistics. Idea is to develop more "robust" tests, e.g. of location, that don't make parametric modeling assumptions. e.g. want more robustness than something like,

$$X \sim N(\theta_1, 1)$$
 and  $Y \sim N(\theta_2, 1)$ , is  $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$ ?

Allow us to abstract away many annoying details, still perform inference, testing, estimation.

### 3.2 Definitions

**Definition 3.1** (U-Statistic). For  $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} P$ , denote  $\theta(P) := E_P[h(X_1, ..., X_r)]$ . A U-statistic is a random variable of the form

$$U_n := \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{|\beta|=r,\beta \subset [n]} h(X_\beta)$$

where  $h : X^r \to \mathbb{R}$  is a symmetric (kernel) function,  $\beta$  ranges over all size r subsets of  $[n] := \{1, ..., n\}$ , and  $X_{\beta} := (X_{i_1}, ..., X_{i_r})$  for  $\beta = (i_1, ..., i_r)$ .

**Remark** The U in "U-statistics" is because  $\mathbb{E}_P[U_n] = \theta(P) := \mathbb{E}[h(X_1, ..., X_r)]$ , so  $U_n$  is unbiased.

Why use a U-statistic at all? Why not use

$$h(X_1, X_2, ..., X_r)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{n}{r}} h\left(X_{\ell(r-1)+1}, ..., X_{\ell r}\right)?$$

Let  $\{X_{(1)}, ..., X_{(n)}\}$  be the sample with "index" information removed. (e.g. Order Statistics. Generally a histogram. In EE terminology, called "type" of the sample.) Then, under  $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} P$ ,  $\{X_{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n$  is a sufficient statistic. Observe that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{h\left(X_{1},...,X_{r}\right)|X_{(1)},...,X_{(n)}\right\} = U_{n} := \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}}\sum_{|\beta|=r,\beta\subset[n]}h\left(X_{\beta}\right)$$

By Rao-Blackwellization, we know that for any convex (loss) function L and any r.v.  $Z_n$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[Z_n|(X_{(i)})_{1\leq i\leq n}] = U_n$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[L(Z_n)] \ge \mathbb{E}[L(U_n)].$$

#### 3.3 Examples

**Example** (Sample Variance): Consider  $h(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} (x - y)^2$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[h(X_1, X_2)] = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] + \mathbb{E}[X_2^2]) - \mathbb{E}[X_1, X_2] = \text{Var}(X)$ . When we have more than two samples, we use

$$U_n = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{1}{2} (X_i - X_j)^2$$
  
=  $\frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{1}{2} (X_i - X_j)^2$   
=  $\frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (X_i - X_j)^2$ 

÷

**Example** (Gini's Mean-Difference): h(x, y) = |x - y| and  $\mathbb{E}[U_n] = \mathbb{E}[|X_1 - X_2|]$ . **Example** (Quantiles, r = 1):

$$\theta(P) = P(X \le t) \text{ and } h(X) = \mathbf{1} \{X \le t\}$$

This is a first order U-statistic. ♣

**Example** (Signed Rank Statistic): Provide information about location of distributions

$$\theta(P) := P(X_1 + X_2 > 0),$$

This means  $h(x, y) = \mathbf{1} \{ x + y > 0 \}$  and  $U_n = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{1} \{ X_i + X_j > 0 \}$ .

**Definition 3.2** (Two-sample U-Statistic). Given two samples  $\{X_1, ..., X_n\}$  and  $\{Y_1, ..., Y_n\}$ , N = n + m, a two-sample U-statistic is a random variable of the form

$$U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}\binom{m}{s}} \sum_{|\alpha|=s,\alpha \subset [m]} \sum_{|\beta|=r,\beta \subset [n]} h\left(X_{\beta}, Y_{\alpha}\right)$$

where  $h: X^r \times Y^s \to \mathbb{R}$ . h is symmetric in its first r arguments and in its last s arguments.

Big Use: Are samples coming from same distribution or not?

**Example** (Mann-Whitney Statistic): Test difference in locations of X and Y

$$\begin{split} U_{n,m} &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{1} \left\{ X_{i} \leq Y_{j} \right\}, \\ \mathbf{E}(U_{N}) &= \mathbf{P}(X \leq Y), \\ \mathbf{NULL}: H_{0} &= \{ P(X \leq Y) = \frac{1}{2} \}, \text{i.e. same location} \end{split}$$

**Game Plan:** Can we get asymptotics of these U-statistics under appropriate distributions? The answer is yes. Project out annoying(lower order) terms, see what is left(iid sums).

#### **3.4** Variance of U-Statistics(Hoeffding)

This is a precursor to asymptotic normality because "1st order terms" dominate everything else.

**Definition 3.3.** Assume that 
$$E\left[|h|^2\right] < \infty$$
,  $X_i \sim P$ , iid, for any  $c < r$ . Define

$$h_c(X_1, ..., X_c) := E\left[h\left(\underbrace{X_1, ..., X_c}_{fixed}, \underbrace{X_{c+1}, ..., X_r}_{i.i.d P}\right)\right].$$

Remark

1. 
$$h_0 = E[h(X_1, ..., X_r)] = \theta(P)$$

2. 
$$E[h_c(X_1,...,X_c)] = E[h(X_1,...,X_r)] = \theta(P)$$

### Definition 3.4.

$$\hat{h}_c := h_c - E[h_c] = h_c - \theta(P)$$
$$E[\hat{h}_c] = 0$$

Then define

$$\zeta_c := Var(h_c(X_1, ..., X_c)) = E\left[\hat{h}_c^2\right]$$

(Note that  $\hat{h}(x_{1:r}) = h(x_{1:r}) - \theta(P)$ .)

**Consider Variances:** Fix  $A, B \subset [n], |A| = |B| = r$ , let  $|A \cap B| = c$ Define:  $\zeta_C = \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{h}(X_A)\hat{h}(X_B) \right]$ Claim:  $\zeta_C = \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{h}_C(x1:C)^2 \right] = \operatorname{Var}(\hat{h}_C)$ **Proof** Using the symmetry of h,  $= \left[ \hat{h}(x_A) \hat{h}(x_B) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}(x_B) - \frac{1}{$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{h}(X_A)\hat{h}(X_B)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{h}(X_{A\setminus S}, X_S)\hat{h}(X_{B\setminus S}, X_S)\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[\hat{h}(X_{A\setminus S}, X_S) \mid X_S] \cdot \mathbb{E}[\hat{h}(X_{B\setminus S}, X_S) \mid X_S]\right] \quad (\text{since } X_{A\setminus S}, X_{B\setminus S} \text{ indep.})$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{h}_c(X_S) \cdot \hat{h}_c(X_S)\right]$$
$$= \zeta_c.$$

Now let's compute the variance of  $U_n$ 

**Theorem 1.** Let  $U_n$  be an  $r^{th}$  order U-statistic. Then

$$\operatorname{Var}U_n = \frac{r^2}{n}\zeta_1 + O(n^{-2}).$$

**Proof** There are  $\binom{n}{r}\binom{r}{c}\binom{n-r}{r-c}$  ways to select a pair of subsets of [n], each of size r, with c common elements. Hence,

$$U_{n} - \theta = {\binom{n}{r}}^{-1} \sum_{|B|=r} \hat{h}(X_{B}),$$
  

$$VarU_{n} = {\binom{n}{r}}^{-2} \sum_{|A|=r} \sum_{|B|=r} \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{h}(X_{A})\hat{h}(X_{B}) \right]$$
  

$$= {\binom{n}{r}}^{-2} \sum_{c=1}^{r} {\binom{n}{r}} {\binom{r}{c}} {\binom{n-r}{r-c}} \zeta_{c}$$
  

$$= \sum_{c=1}^{r} \frac{r!^{2}}{c!(r-c)!^{2}} \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)\dots(n-2r+c+1)}{n(n-1)\dots(n-r+1)} \zeta_{c}.$$

For fixed c,  $\frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)\dots(n-2r+c+1)}{n(n-1)\dots(n-r+1)}$  has r-c terms in the numerator and r terms in the denominator. Hence,

$$\operatorname{Var}U_{n} = r^{2} \frac{(n-r)(n-r-1)\dots(n-2r+2)}{n(n-1)\dots(n-r+1)} \zeta_{1} + \sum_{c=2}^{r} O\left(\frac{n^{r-c}}{n^{r}}\right) \zeta_{c}$$
$$= r^{2} \left[\frac{1}{n} + O(n^{-2})\right] \zeta_{1} + O(n^{-2})$$
$$= \frac{r^{2}}{n} \zeta_{1} + O(n^{-2}).$$