

Lecture 20 – March 16

Lecturer: John Duchi

Scribe: Jonathan Lacotte

**Warning:** these notes may contain factual errors**Reading:****Outline**

- limiting Gaussian experiments
- local asymptotic minimax theorem

1 Limiting Gaussianity

Definition 1.1. A collection $\{P_{\theta,n}\}_{\theta \in \Theta, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is locally asymptotically normal (LAN) with precision/information $K_\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ if there exists $\Delta_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that:

$$\log \left(\frac{dP_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}},n}}{dP_{\theta,n}}(X^n) \right) = h^T \Delta_n(X^n) - \frac{1}{2} h^T K_\theta h + o_{P_{\theta,n}}(\|h\|)$$

where $\Delta_n(X^n) \xrightarrow{P_{\theta,n}} \mathcal{N}(0, K_\theta)$.

Le Cam's third lemma implies that, with $Z_n = K_\theta^{-1} \Delta_n$, $Z_n \xrightarrow{P_{\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}},n}} \mathcal{N}(h, K_\theta^{-1})$

The goal is to make rigorous that in LAN families, estimating θ is same (in limit) as estimating the mean from a Gaussian location family.

Throughout, we assume that $\theta_0 = 0$ (wlog).

Lemma 1.1. Let $Z_n = K^{-1} \Delta_n$ (in LAN family). Then, (Z_n) is uniformly tight under $(P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}},n})$ whenever $\|h\| \leq C < +\infty$.

Moreover, if we define $dQ_{h,n}(z) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(z-h)^T K(z-h) - z^T K z) dP_{\theta,n}(z)$ then we get for all $c, b < +\infty$:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|h\| \leq c} \int \mathbf{1}_{\{\|z\| \leq b\}} |dQ_{h,n}(z) - dP_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}},n}(z)| = 0$$

Idea: The tilted distribution 'looks' Gaussian and integrates same as $dP_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}},n}$ over compact sets.

We will use the following lemma to show that, with Gaussian prior, a LAN family give asymptotically Gaussian posterior.

Lemma 1.2. Let $h \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Gamma)$ with $\Gamma \succ 0$ and $Z|h \sim \mathcal{N}(Ah, \Sigma)$ with $\Sigma \succ 0$. Then

$$h|Z = z \sim \mathcal{N}((\Gamma^{-1} + A^T \Sigma^{-1} A)^{-1} A^T \Sigma^{-1} z, (\Gamma^{-1} + A^T \Sigma^{-1} A)^{-1})$$

Define, for $K \succeq 0, \Gamma \succ 0$, the Gaussian distribution

$$G_{K,\Gamma}(\cdot | z) = \mathcal{N}((K + \Gamma^{-1})^{-1}Kz, (K + \Gamma^{-1})^{-1})$$

Idea: In LAN family, $Z_n = K^{-1}\Delta_n$ should give "Gaussian" posterior to shifts $\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}$.

Notation: We use $\pi^{\Gamma,c}$ to denote the truncated Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, \Gamma)$ to $\|h\| \leq c$.

Theorem 1.1 Let $\pi^{\Gamma,c}$ be the prior distribution over h and assume that data X^n verify $X^n|h \sim P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}},n}$. Denote $Z_n = K^{-1}\Delta_n(X^n)$ (LAN family), $P_n(\cdot) := \int P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}},n}(\cdot) d\pi^{\Gamma,c}(h)$ the marginal distribution of X^n . Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $C, N < +\infty$ such that for all $n \geq N, c \geq C$,

$$\int \|G_{K,\Gamma}(\cdot | z_n(x^n)) - \pi^{\Gamma,c}(\cdot | x^n)\|_{TV} dP_n(x^n) \leq \epsilon$$

Remark: The true posterior of a LAN family, under truncated Gaussian prior, is, on average, really close to a Gaussian distribution, conditioned on $Z_n = K^{-1}\Delta_n(x^n)$.

2 Local asymptotic minimax theorem

Definition 2.1. A function $L : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is quasi-convex if for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the α -sublevel set $\{x : L(x) \leq \alpha\}$ is convex.

Example 2.1. $L(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_2^2 \wedge B$ is quasi-convex for any $B \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.1. (Anderson) Let L be symmetric and quasi-convex. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$. Then:

$$\inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^k} \mathbb{E}[L(AX - v)] = \mathbb{E}[L(A(X - \mu))] = \mathbb{E}\left[L(A\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}W)\right]$$

where $W \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_k)$.

Theorem 2.1. (Local asymptotic minimax)

Let $L : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be quasi-convex, symmetric and bounded. Let $\{P_{\theta,n}\}$ be LAN at θ_0 with precision $K_{\theta_0} \geq 0$. Then, with $W \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_k)$,

$$\liminf_{c \infty} \liminf_{n \infty} \inf_{\hat{\theta}_n} \sup_{\|h\| \leq c, \theta = \theta_0 + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\theta,n}} \left[L(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)) \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[L(K_{\theta_0}^{-\frac{1}{2}}W) \right]$$

Remark Consider a quadratic mean differentiable family $\{P_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ with Fisher information I_{θ_0} at parameter θ_0 . Then the theorem implies that:

$$\liminf_{c \infty} \liminf_{n \infty} \inf_{\hat{\theta}_n} \int \mathbb{E}_{P_{\theta_0 + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}}} \left[L(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \theta)) d\pi_c(h) \right] \geq \mathbb{E}[L(Z)]$$

with $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_{\theta_0}^{-1})$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1.

Without loss of generality, assume that L takes values in $[0, 1]$ and $\theta_0 = 0$.
Observe that

$$\sup_{\|h\| \leq c} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}, n}} \left[L(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)) \right] \geq \int \mathbb{E}_{P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}, n}} \left[L(\sqrt{n}\hat{\theta}_n - h) \right] d\pi(h)$$

where $\theta = \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}$, for any π with support in $\{\|h\| \leq c\}$.

Consider $\pi := \pi^{\Gamma, c}$, prior of h , to be the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \Gamma)$, truncated to $\{\|h\| \leq c\}$ and denote the marginal distribution of X^n :

$$\bar{P}_n(\cdot) = \int P_{\frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}, n}(\cdot) d\pi^{\Gamma, c}(h)$$

Then, the left hand-side (*) of the last inequality satisfies:

$$(*) \geq \int \mathbb{E} \left[L(\sqrt{n}\hat{\theta}_n - h) \mid X^n = x^n \right] d\bar{P}_n(x^n) \geq \int \inf_{\hat{h}} \mathbb{E} \left[L(\hat{h} - h) \mid X^n = x^n \right] d\bar{P}_n(x^n)$$

Using the previous notation $G_{K, \Gamma}$, we get:

$$(*) \geq \int \inf_{\hat{h}} \mathbb{E}_{G_{K, \Gamma}} \left[L(\hat{h} - h) \mid x^n \right] d\bar{P}_n(x^n) - \int \sup_{h, \hat{h}} L(\hat{h} - h) (dG_{K, \Gamma}(h \mid x^n) - \pi(h \mid x^n)) d\bar{P}_n(x^n)$$

Observe that:

$$\int \sup_{h, \hat{h}} L(\hat{h} - h) (dG_{K, \Gamma}(h \mid x^n) - \pi(h \mid x^n)) d\bar{P}_n(x^n) \leq \int \|G_{K, \Gamma}(\cdot \mid x^n) - \pi(\cdot \mid x^n)\|_{TV} d\bar{P}_n(x^n)$$

and that, by Theorem 1.1, the right-hand side of the last inequality is less than ϵ , for any $\epsilon > 0$, c appropriately chosen and n sufficiently large.

Moreover, by Anderson's lemma, we have:

$$\int \inf_{\hat{h}} \mathbb{E}_{G_{K, \Gamma}} \left[L(\hat{h} - h) \mid x^n \right] d\bar{P}_n(x^n) \geq \int \mathbb{E} \left[L(\mathcal{N}(0, (K + \Gamma^{-1})^{-1})) \right] d\bar{P}_n(x^n)$$

Taking $\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$, we get:

$$(*) \geq \mathbb{E} [L(Z)] - \epsilon$$