

## Lecture 18 – March 9

Lecturer: John Duchi

Scribe: Michael Celentano

**Warning:** these notes may contain factual errors**Reading:** Van der Vaart, *Asymptotic Statistics*, Chapter 6.**Outline:**

1. Absolute continuity of measures.
2. Contiguity and Asymptotics; LeCam's Lemmas.
3. Exact Calculations with Testing.

**1 Absolute Continuity**

We begin with the notion that allows us to change measures in a non-asymptotic setting.

**Definition 1.1.** Probability measure  $Q$  is absolutely continuous with respect to probability measure  $P$ , written  $Q \ll P$ , if  $P(A) = 0$  implies  $Q(A) = 0$  for any set  $A$ .

If  $Q \ll P$ , then the Radon-Nikodym theorem says that there exists a nonnegative measurable function  $g$ , denoted  $\frac{dQ}{dP}$ , such that  $\mathbb{E}_Q[f] = \mathbb{E}_P[fg] = \int fg dP = \int f \frac{dQ}{dP} dP$  for all  $f$  integrable with respect to  $Q$ . The following corollary is another formulation of some of these ideas.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $M$  be the joint measure (law) of the pair  $(X, V) := \left(X, \frac{dQ}{dP}\right)$  under distribution  $P$ . (So  $M$  is defined on  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ ). Then  $V \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_M[V] = 1$ , and  $Q(B) = \mathbb{E}_P\left[\mathbf{1}\{B\}(X) \frac{dQ}{dP}\right] = \mathbb{E}_P[\mathbf{1}\{B\}(X)V] = \int_{B \times \mathbb{R}_+} V dM(x, v)$ .

**Idea:** If we know  $\frac{dQ}{dP}$  and we can compute things like  $Pf$ , then we can compute  $Qf$  too. Can we do this in an asymptotic sense? We would like to find an asymptotic version of Corollary 1.

**2 Contiguity and Asymptotics; LeCam's Lemmas.**

The notion that will allow to perform the asymptotic versions of the previous calculations is contiguity.

**Definition 2.1.** A sequence  $\{Q_n\}$  of distributions is contiguous with respect to  $\{P_n\}$ , written  $Q_n \triangleleft P_n$ , if  $P_n(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  implies  $Q_n(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  for any sequence of sets  $A_n$ . Sequences  $\{Q_n\}$  and  $\{P_n\}$  are mutually contiguous, written  $Q_n \triangleleft\triangleright P_n$ , if  $Q_n \triangleleft P_n$  and  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$ .

Below we will characterize contiguity with conditions on the limits of density representations of  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$ . Because  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  need not be absolutely continuous with respect to each other, nor are we a priori provided some mutually dominating measure, the following observations are useful.

**Observation 2.** Even if  $Q_n \not\ll P_n$ , we can still consider  $Q_n = Q_n^\parallel + Q_n^\perp$  where  $Q_n^\parallel \ll P$  and  $Q_n^\perp \perp P$ . If we define  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} := \frac{dQ_n^\parallel}{dP_n}$ , then  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{P_n} \left[ \frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \right] = Q_n^\parallel(\Omega) = 1 - Q_n^\perp(\Omega) \leq 1$ . Thus, under  $P_n$ , the sequence  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n}$  is tight.

**Observation 3.** We can always assume without loss of generality that  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  all have densities  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  with respect to some finite base measure  $\mu$ . One suitable measure is  $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} (P_n + Q_n)$ , which has total mass 2. We can also without loss of generality take  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} = \frac{q_n}{p_n}$ , so that  $\int \frac{q_n}{p_n} dP_n = \int q_n \mathbf{1}\{p_n > 0\} d\mu = Q_n^\parallel(\Omega)$ .

We are now ready to state alternative characterizations of contiguity.

**Lemma 4** (LeCam's First Lemma, or "Limits determine contiguity"). *The following are equivalent:*

1.  $Q_n \triangleleft P_n$ .
2. If  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \xrightarrow{d} U$  along a subsequence, then  $\mathbb{P}(U > 0) = 1$ .
3. If  $\frac{dQ_n}{dP_n} \xrightarrow{d} V$  along a subsequence, then  $\mathbb{E}[V] = 1$ .
4. If  $T_n \xrightarrow{P_n} 0$ , then  $T_n \xrightarrow{Q_n} 0$ .

**Proof** of (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Take  $A_n$  such that  $P_n(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Define  $T_n = \mathbf{1}\{A_n\}$ . Then certainly  $T_n \xrightarrow{P_n} 0$ , so  $T_n \xrightarrow{Q_n} 0$ . That is,  $Q_n(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

The remaining parts of the proof can be found in Van der Vaart Chapter 6.

The following two examples will be useful in later developments.

**Example 1** (Asymptotic log normality): Suppose we have  $\log \frac{dP_n}{dQ_n} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Then, by the continuous mapping theorem, we have  $\frac{dP_n}{dQ_n} \xrightarrow{d} \exp(\mathbf{N}(\mu, \sigma^2))$  is greater than 0 with probability 1. Applying the second characterization of LeCam's First Lemma implies  $Q_n \triangleleft P_n$ . On the other hand, based on our knowledge of the moment generating function for normal random variables,  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\mathbf{N}(\mu, \sigma^2))] = \exp(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)$ . Applying the third characterization of LeCam's First Lemma gives that  $P_n \triangleleft Q_n$  if and only if  $\exp(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) = 1$ . That is,  $\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$ .  $\clubsuit$

**Example 2** (Smooth likelihoods): Suppose  $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  has densities  $p_\theta$ , and  $p_\theta$  is smooth enough in  $\theta$  that  $\log p_\theta$  has a Taylor expansion around  $\theta_0 \in \text{int } \Theta$ . That is,

$$\log p_{\theta_0+h} = \ell_{\theta_0} + h^T \nabla \ell_{\theta_0} + \frac{1}{2} h^T \nabla^2 \ell_{\theta_0} h + O(\|h\|^3)$$

where  $\ell_\theta = \log p_\theta$ .

A Taylor expansion gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \frac{p_{\theta_0+h/\sqrt{n}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{p_{\theta_0}(x_1, \dots, x_n)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{p_{\theta_0+h/\sqrt{n}}(x_i)}{p_{\theta_0}(x_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \ell_{\theta_0+h/\sqrt{n}}(x_i) - \ell_{\theta_0}(x_i) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n h^T \nabla \ell_{\theta_0}(x_i) + \frac{1}{2n} h^T \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla^2 \ell_{\theta_0}(x_i) h + o_{P_{\theta_0}}(1) \\
&= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n h^T \nabla \ell_{\theta_0}(x_i)}_{\xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(0, h^T I_{\theta_0} h)} - \frac{1}{2} h^T I_{\theta_0} h + o_{P_{\theta_0}}(1) \\
&\xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{N} \left( -\frac{1}{2} h^T I_{\theta_0} h, h^T I_{\theta_0} h \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Surprisingly, this is exactly the condition for mutual contiguity from Example 1! Thus  $P_{\theta_0}^n \diamond P_{\theta_0+h/\sqrt{n}}^n$ . Local alternatives and the null are mutually contiguous! ♣

In analogy with Corollary 1 and in light of the previous example, we now wonder whether mutual contiguity tells us something about limit distributions under alternatives. The next theorem is the beginning of an answer.

**Theorem 5** (LeCam). *Let  $P_n, Q_n$  be distributions on  $X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $Q_n \triangleleft P_n$  and  $\left(X_n, \frac{dQ_n}{dP_n}\right) \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{P_n}} (X, V)$ , then  $L(B) := \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}\{B\}(X)V]$  is a probability measure (ie.  $\mathbb{E}[V] = 1$  and  $V \geq 0$ ) and  $X_n \xrightarrow[\frac{d}{Q_n}] W$  where  $W \sim L$ .*

**Proof** (See Van der Vaart Chapter 6). □

The following example is an important application of Theorem 5.

**Example 3** (LeCam's Third Lemma): If  $\left(X_n, \log \frac{dQ_n}{dP_n}\right) \xrightarrow{\frac{d}{P_n}} \mathbf{N} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & \tau \\ \tau^T & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow[\frac{d}{Q_n}] \mathbf{N}(\mu + \tau, \Sigma)$ . The proof, which we omit, involves a calculation with characteristic functions. ♣

### 3 Exact Calculations with Testing

We briefly introduce our next question, which will be answered in future lectures.

Consider the simple hypothesis test  $P_0$  vs.  $P_1$ . The total variation distance comes up naturally in this context when considering optimal error rates. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf_{\Phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{0,1\}} (P_0(\Phi \neq 0) + P_1(\Phi \neq 1)) &= \inf_A \{P_0(A^c) + P_1(A)\} = \inf_A \{1 - (P_0(A) - P_1(A))\} \\
&= 1 - \|P_0 - P_1\|_{\text{TV}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, given a sequence of nulls and alternatives  $\{P_{0,n}\}$  and  $\{P_{1,n}\}$ , if  $\|P_{0,n} - P_{1,n}\|_{\text{TV}}$  converges to something in  $(0, 1)$ , then it is impossible to test exactly whether the null or the alternative is true. The result of Problem Set 8, Question 2 implies that this also holds if  $d_{\text{hel}}(P_{0,n}, P_{1,n})$  converges to something in  $(0, 1)$ .

We now ask: How/when do we have this type of limiting behavior?