

Lecture 6– January 26

Lecturer: John Duchi

Scribe: Yan Chen, Xiaoyan Han

**Warning:** these notes may contain factual errors**Reading:** VDV Chapter 12**Outline:**

- Efficiency of Estimators
 - Super Efficiency
- U-Statistics (VDV Chapter 12)
 - Definitions
 - Examples
 - Variance

1 Efficiency of Estimators

Recall: If $\hat{\theta}_n$ and T_n are estimators/statistics such that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$ for some $m(n) \rightarrow \infty$, and $\sqrt{n}(T_{m(n)} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$, then the ARE (Asymptotic Relative Efficiency) of $\hat{\theta}_n$ w.r.t. T_n is $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(n)}{n}$.

Observation 1. (Pitman Efficiency): Say that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta))$ and $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \tau^2(\theta))$. Then the ARE of $\hat{\theta}_n$ w.r.t. T_n is $\frac{\tau^2(\theta)}{\sigma^2(\theta)}$ in the one-dimensional case. (In higher dimensions, it is roughly $\text{tr}(\tau^2(\theta)(\sigma^2(\theta))^{-1})$).

Proof Let $m(n) = \lceil \frac{\tau^2}{\sigma^2} n \rceil$. Then,

$$\sqrt{n}(T_{m(n)} - \theta) = \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m(n)}}}_{\rightarrow \frac{\sigma}{\tau}} \underbrace{\sqrt{m(n)}(T_{m(n)} - \theta)}_{\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \tau^2)} \underbrace{\xrightarrow{d}}_{\text{Slutsky's}} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta))$$

Roughly, if $\tau^2 > \sigma^2$, we prefer $\hat{\theta}_n$ to T_n because T_n requires $\frac{\tau^2}{\sigma^2}$ times the sample size that $\hat{\theta}_n$ does for a similar quality. \square

1.1 Super-Efficiency

Definition 1.1 (Comparison of Estimators and Super-Efficiency). Recall if $I(\theta)$ is Fisher-Information for the model $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ and if $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{P_\theta} N(0, I(\theta)^{-1})$, then $\hat{\theta}_n$ is efficient. If $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{P_\theta} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta))$, where $\sigma^2(\theta) \leq I(\theta)^{-1}$ and there is some θ_0 such that $\sigma^{-1}(\theta_0) < I(\theta_0)^{-1}$, then we call $\hat{\theta}_n$ super-efficient.

Example: (Hodges Estimator for Gaussian Mean)

For $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\theta, 1)$ and $\hat{\theta}_n = \bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, let $T := \begin{cases} \bar{X}_n & \text{if } |\bar{X}_n| \geq n^{-1/4} \\ 0 & \text{if } |\bar{X}_n| < n^{-1/4} \end{cases}$.

If $\theta = 0$, then

$$P_\theta(\sqrt{n}T_n = 0) = P_\theta(|\bar{X}_n| \leq n^{-1/4}) = P_\theta\left(\left|\underbrace{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n}_{N(0,1)}\right| \leq n^{1/4}\right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, $\sqrt{n}(T_n) \xrightarrow{P_0} 0$.

If $\theta \neq 0$, then

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) = \underbrace{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \theta)}_{\xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\bar{X}_n| \geq n^{-1/4}\right\}} + \underbrace{\sqrt{n}(0 - \theta)}_{\xrightarrow{a.s.} 0} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\bar{X}_n| < n^{-1/4}\right\}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

So $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \theta = 0 \\ N(0, 1) & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$.

This is a bad estimator. We will explore this in the homework.



2 U-Statistics

2.1 Definitions

Suppose I have $h : X^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and want to estimate $\theta = E[h(X_1, \dots, X_r)]$ where the X_i are independent. Given a sample X_1, \dots, X_n , how should I estimate θ ? (Why care about this?)

Example:

Observe that

$$\text{Var}(X) = E[X_1^2] - E[X_1 X_2] = \frac{1}{2} E[(X_1 - X_2)^2].$$

So,

$$h(X_1, X_2) = \frac{1}{2} (X_1 - X_2)^2$$



Remark Always, without loss of generality, we assume h is symmetric.

I should estimate θ with with U-Statistics (Hoeffding 1940s). It allows us to (1) abstract away annoying details and still perform inference and (2) develop statistics and tests that do not depend on parametric assumptions (non-parametric) while things are parametric.

Definition 2.1 (U-Statistics). Let $h : X^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be symmetric. (Kernel Function). For $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} P$, define $\theta(P) := E_P[h(X_1, \dots, X_r)]$ and

$$U_n := \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{|\beta|=r, \beta \subset [n]} h(X_\beta)$$

where β ranges over size r subsets of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $X_\beta = (X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_r})$ for $\beta = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$.

Remark $E_P[U_n] = \theta(P)$, so it is unbiased.

Why use an U-statistic at all? Why not

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{n}{r}} h(X_{\ell(r-1)+1}, \dots, X_{\ell r})?$$

Let $\{X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}\}$ be the sample with “index” information removed. (e.g. Order Statistics. Generally a histogram. For EE, called “type” of the sample.) Then, under $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} P$, then $\{X_{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n$ is a sufficient statistic for everything. Observe that

$$E\{h(X_1, \dots, X_r) | X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}\} = U_n := \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{|\beta|=r, \beta \subset [n]} h(X_\beta)$$

By Rao-Blackwellization,

$$\text{Var}(U_n) \leq \text{Var} \left(\underbrace{\text{Other Unbiased Estimators}}_{\text{of form Avg}(h(X_\beta), |\beta|=r)} \right).$$

2.2 Examples

Example (Sample Variance): Consider $h(X_1, X_2) = \frac{1}{2}(X_1 - X_2)^2$ and $E[h(X_1, X_2)] = \frac{1}{2}(E[X_1^2] + E[X_2^2]) - E[X_1, X_2] = \text{Var}(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} U_n &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{2}(X_i - X_j)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i,j} (X_i - X_j)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i,j} ((X_i - \bar{X}_n) - (X_j - \bar{X}_n))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i,j} ((X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 + (X_j - \bar{X}_n)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \end{aligned}$$



Example (Gini's Mean-Difference):

$$h(X_1, X_2) = |X_1 - X_2| \text{ and } E[U_n] = E[|X_1 - X_2|]$$



Example (Quantiles):

$$\theta(P) = P(X \leq t) = \int_{-\infty}^t dp \text{ and } h(X) = \mathbf{1}\{X \leq t\}$$

This is a 1st order U-statistic.



Example (Signed Rank Statistic): Suppose we want to know if central location of P is 0 or not. (Even if $E[X]$ does not exist.)

$$\theta(P) := P(X_1 + X_2 > 0)$$

Remark If X_1, X_2 are symmetric about 0 and $\theta(P) = \frac{1}{2}$, then $h(X_1, X_2) = \mathbf{1}\{X_1 + X_2 > 0\}$ and $U_n = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{1}\{X_i + X_j > 0\}$.



Definition 2.2 (Two-sample U-Statistic). For the samples $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ and $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$,

$$U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r} \binom{m}{s}} \sum_{|\alpha|=s, \alpha \subset [m]} \sum_{|\beta|=r, \beta \subset [n]} h(X_\beta, Y_\alpha)$$

where $h: X^r \times Y^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. h is symmetric in X and Y variables individually.

Example (Mann-Whitney Statistic):

Is X stochastically dominated by Y ? Or are they at the same location?

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(P) &= P(X \leq Y) \\ h(X, Y) &= \mathbf{1}\{X \leq Y\} \\ U_{n,m} &= \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{1}\{X_i \leq Y_j\} \end{aligned}$$



2.3 Variance of U-Statistics

This is a precursor to asymptotic normality because "1st order terms" dominate everything else.

Definition 2.3. Assume that $E[|h|^2] < \infty$ for any $c < r$. Define

$$h_c(X_1, \dots, X_c) := E \left[h \left(\underbrace{X_1, \dots, X_c}_{\text{fixed}}, \underbrace{X_{c+1}, \dots, X_r}_{i.i.d P} \right) \right].$$

Remark

1. $h_0 = E[h(X_1, \dots, X_r)] = \theta(P)$
2. $E[h_c(X_1, \dots, X_c)] = E[h(X_1, \dots, X_r)] = \theta(P)$

Definition 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_c &:= h_c - E[h_c] = h_c - \theta(P) \\ E[\hat{h}_c] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then define

$$\zeta_c := \text{Var}(h_c(X_1, \dots, X_c)) = E[\hat{h}_c^2]$$

Goal: Write $\text{Var}[U_n]$ in terms of ζ_c 's for $c = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Note the following:

If $\beta = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$, $\alpha = \{i'_1, \dots, i'_r\}$, $S = \alpha \cap \beta$, $|S| = c$, then

$$E[\hat{h}(X_\beta) \hat{h}(X_\alpha)] = \zeta_c.$$