Stats 300b: Theory of Statistics

Winter 2017

Lecture 2 – January 12

Lecturer: John Duchi

Warning: these notes may contain factual errors

Reading: A.W. van der Vaart. Asymptotic Statistics. Chapter 2 and Chapter 3

- 1. Prohorov Theorem
- 2. Portmanteau Lemma
- 3. Delta Method

1 Prohorov Theorem

Definition 1.1. A collection of random vectors $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is uniformly tight if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists M such that

$$\sup_{\alpha} \mathbb{P}(\|X_{\alpha}\| \ge M) \le \epsilon$$

Remark A single random vector is tight since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(||X|| > M) = 0$

Remark If X_n converges in distribution to X, then $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly tight **Proof** Fix a number M such that $\mathbb{P}(||X|| \ge M) < \epsilon$. By the portmanteau lemma $\mathbb{P}(||X_n|| \ge M)$ exceeds $\mathbb{P}(||X|| \ge M)$ arbitrarily little for sufficient large n. Thus there exists N such that $P(||X|| \ge M) < 2\epsilon$, for all $n \ge N$. Because each of the finitely many variables X_n with n < N is tight, the value fo M can be increased, if necessary, to ensure that $\mathbb{P}(||X_n|| \ge M) < 2\epsilon$ for every n. \Box

Theorem 1 (Prohorov's theorem). A collection of random vectors $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is uniformly tight if and only if it is sequentially compact for weak convergence. i.e. \forall sequences $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$, there exist n_k , a subsequence and a random vector X such that X_{nk} converges in distribution in X.

Proof d-dimensional analogue of Helly selection

Example 1: Random variable bounded in expectation Let $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ satisfy $\mathbb{E}(||X_{\alpha}||) < M < \infty$, for $\alpha \in A$. Then $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is uniformly tight. **Proof** By markov inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X_{\alpha}\| \ge C) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}(\|X_{\alpha}\|^p)}{C^p} \le \frac{M^p}{C^p} \to 0$$

as $C \to \infty$

÷

1

Scribe: Yi Liu

2 Portmanteau Theorem

Theorem 2. Portmanteau Theorem Let X_n , X be random vectors, then the following are equivalent.

1. X_n converges in distribution X

2. $\mathbb{E}(f(X_n))$ converges in distribution to $\mathbb{E}(f(X))$ for all bounded and continuous f

3. $\mathbb{E}(f(X_n))$ converges in distribution to $\mathbb{E}(f(X))$ for one-Lipschitz f with $f \in [0,1]$

4. $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(f(X_n)) \ge E(f(X))$ for non-negative and continuous f.

- 5. $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \in O) \ge \mathbb{P}(X \in O)$ for all open set O
- 6. $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in C)$ for all closed set C
- 7. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \in B) = \mathbb{P}(X \in B)$ for all set B such that $\mathbb{P}(X \in \delta B) = 0$

Remark We call a collection of function \mathcal{F} a determining class if $E(f(X_n)) \to E(f(X))$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $X_n \to X$. For example, the characteristic function are determining class **Remark** The function has to be bounded in this case. Consider the following counter example.

Let g(x) = x and

$$X_n = \begin{cases} n^2 & \text{with probability} \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 X_n converges in probability to 0 and therefore converges in distribution to 0. However,

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X_n)) = n \to \infty$$

3 Delta Method

Prompt: Suppose you have a sequence of statistics T_n that estimate a parameter θ and you know that $r_n(T_n - \theta)$ converges in distribution to T when $r_n \to \infty$. (Here we understand r_n as the rate) Suppose ϕ is smooth in the neighborhood of θ , it is possible to say anything about $\phi(T_n)$?

Theorem 3. Delta Method Let $r_n \to \infty$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be differentiable at θ and assume that $r_n(T_n - \theta)$ in distribution to T for some random vector T, then

- 1. $r_n(\phi(T_n) = \phi(\theta))$ converges in distribution to $\phi'(\theta)T$
- 2. $r_n(\phi(T_n) = \phi(\theta)) r_n \phi'(\theta)(T_n \theta)$ converges in probability to 0

Here $\phi'(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ is the Jacobian Matrix of derivatives $[\phi'(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{\partial \phi_i(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i}$

Proof By taylor theorem, we have that

$$\phi(t) = \phi(\theta) + \phi'(\theta)(t - \theta) + o(||t - \theta||)$$

as $t \to \theta$

This is equivalent to

$$\phi(t) = \phi(\theta) + \phi'(\theta)(t-\theta) + R(|t-\theta|)$$
(1)

where $R(t - \theta) = o(||t - \theta||)$ we know that $r_n(T_n - \theta) = O_p(1)$ because of the convergence in distribution.

$$r_n R(T_n - \theta) = r_n O_p(||T_n - \theta||) = O_p(||r_n(T_n - \theta)||) = o_p(1)$$

which converges in probability to 0. Now put everything together and use equation i

$$r_n(\phi(T_n) - \phi(\theta)) + r_n \phi'(\theta)(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

This concludes the proof for part 2)

Now, we note that $r_n \phi'(\theta)(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \phi'(\theta)T$ so we apply Slutsky lemma to get that

$$r_n(\phi(T_n) - \phi(\theta)) \stackrel{d}{\to} \phi'(\theta)T$$

Example 2: $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P, \mathbb{E}(X) = \theta = \neq 0, \ \mathbb{E}(||X||^2) \le \infty, \ \operatorname{Cov}(X) = \Gamma \ \text{and} \ \phi(h) = \frac{1}{2} ||h||^2 \ \text{Then}$

$$\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}X_{i}-\theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0,\Gamma)$$

Now, assume that $\Delta \phi(\theta) = \theta$ So

$$\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{2}\|\frac{1}{n}\sum X_i\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|^2) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0,\theta^T \Gamma \theta)$$

Now if $\|\theta\|^2 = 0$, all we get is that

$$\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{2}\|\frac{1}{n}\sum X_i\|^2) \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

	(•	
(ļ	P	

Remark A generalization of the delta method to higher order problems can be done with taylor expansion with careful use of O_p and o_p

Remark If $\phi'(\theta) = 0$, the higher order expansion allows us to get more powerful results and faster rate fo convergences

Theorem 4. Let $r_n \to 0$ be deterministic, Suppose that $r_n(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} T$, Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be twice differentiable at θ such that $\nabla \phi(\theta) = 0$, then we get

$$r_n^2(\phi(T_n) - \phi(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{2} T^T \nabla^2 \phi(\theta) T$$

where $\Delta^2 \phi(\theta)$ is the Hessian Matrix

Proof As before we will do taylor expansion

$$\phi(t) = \phi(\theta) + \nabla \phi(\theta)^T (t - \theta) + \frac{1}{2} (t - \theta)^T \nabla^2 \phi(\theta) (t - \theta) + R(t - \theta)$$

where $R(h)=o(\|h\|^2)$ as $h\to\infty$

$$r_n^2 R(T_n = \theta) = r_n^2 O_p(\|T_n - \theta\|^2) \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

So we have

$$r_n^2(\phi(T_n) - \phi(\theta)) = \frac{1}{2}r_n(T_n - \theta)^T \nabla^2 \phi(\theta)r_n(T_n - \theta)) + o_p(1)$$

So

$$r_n^2(\phi(T_n) - \phi(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} T^T \nabla^2 \phi(\theta) T$$

by continuous mapping

Example 3: KL-divergences and likelihood for Bernoulli random variable.

$$D_{\mathrm{kl}}\left(P\|Q\right) = \int p\log(\frac{p}{q})d\mu$$

where p,q are density with respect to μ We know that

$$D_{\mathrm{kl}}\left(P\|Q\right) \ge 0$$

and

$$D_{\rm kl}\left(P\|Q\right) = 0$$

if and only if p=q Here we consider the Bernoulli: P_θ where $X\in\{0,1\}$

$$X_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability}\theta\\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \theta \end{cases}$$

Consider the estimator

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

By CLT, we know that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathsf{N}(0.\theta(1 - \theta))$$

Now, we compute the KL-distance between

$$D_{kl} \left(P_{\hat{\theta}} \| P_{\theta} \right)$$

$$\phi(t) = D_{kl} \left(P_t \| P_{\theta} \right) = t \log(\frac{t}{\theta}) + (1 - t) \log(\frac{1 - t}{1 - \theta})$$

$$\phi'(t) = \log(\frac{t}{1 - t}) - \log(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta})$$

We know that

$$\phi'(\theta) = 0$$

so we try

$$\phi''(t) = \frac{1}{t(1-t)}$$

$$\phi''(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

now, take $r_n = \sqrt{n}, T_n = \hat{\theta}$, we have that

$$nD_{\mathrm{kl}}\left(P_{\hat{\theta}}\|P_{\theta}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)} T^{2} = \frac{1}{2}Z^{2} = \frac{1}{2}\chi_{1}^{2}$$

÷